

HW #8

Laboratory

Hsin-Hung Lin (林信宏)

hlin@iis.sinica.edu.tw

2018/12/24

Breadth-First Search

```
Algorithm Breadth_First_Search( $G, v$ );  
begin  
    mark  $v$ ;  
    put  $v$  in a queue;  
    while the queue is not empty do  
        remove vertex  $w$  from the queue;  
        perform preWORK on  $w$ ;  
        for all edges  $(w, x)$  with  $x$  unmarked do  
            mark  $x$ ;  
            add  $(w, x)$  to the BFS tree  $T$ ;  
            put  $x$  in the queue  
    end
```

1. (Lemma 1)

Lemma 1 of BFS

If an edge (u, w) belongs to a BFS tree T such that u is a parent of w , then u has the minimal BFS number among vertices with edges leading to w .

Symbols: $G = (V, E)$
 T : BFS tree
 Q : queue

Breadth-First Search

Algorithm Breadth_First_Search(G, v);
begin

mark v ;

put v in a queue;

while the queue is not empty **do**

remove vertex w from the queue;

perform **preWORK** on w ,

for all edges (w, x) with x unmarked **do**

mark x ;

add (w, x) to the *BFS* tree T ;

put x in the queue

end

```
// BFS numbering  
num++;  
w.bfs_num = num;
```

1. (Lemma 1)

Proof - preparation

- a. Add BFS numbering.
- b. BFS number is the ordering of being pushed to Q (the queue).
- c. When a vertex is processed in BFS, all its unmarked children will be pushed to Q .
- d. To have lower BFS number than u , we can see if there exists a vertex, say v , which is another parent of w , and is pushed to Q before u .

1. (Lemma 1)

Proof by contradiction

- a. Assume there exists such v , since v is also parent of w , and there exists an edge (v, w) .
- b. When v is processed, (v, w) is added to T , and w is marked and pushed to Q .
- c. When u is later processed, (u, w) will not be added to T since w is already marked.
- d. proved by contradiction.

2. (Lemma 2)

Lemma 2 of BFS

For each vertex w , the path from the root to w in T is a shortest path from the root to w in G .

Symbols: $G = (V, E)$
 T : BFS tree
 Q : queue

Breadth-First Search

Algorithm Breadth_First_Search(G, v);
begin

mark v ;

put v in a queue;

while the queue is not empty **do**

remove vertex w from the queue;

perform **preWORK** on w ;

for all edges (w, x) with x unmarked **do**

mark x ;

add (w, x) to the *BFS* tree T ;

put x in the queue

end

// after mark x do
 $x.d = w.d + 1$;

2. (Lemma 2)

Proof - preparation

- a. Add level (depth) attribute to each vertex.
- b. Proof by induction (w/ contradiction)

2. (Lemma 2)

Proof

- a. Each vertex in T has only one path from root, and its length is its distance (i.e. $v.d$)
- b. let $\text{dist}(v)$ be the shortest path distance, we want to prove that path from root to v in T (denote as $\text{path}(v)$) is a shortest path and $v.d = \text{dist}(v)$

2. (Lemma 2)

Proof by induction

c. Base case: $\text{level}=0$ (root) $\rightarrow s.d = \text{dist}(s) = 0$

d. Induction hypothesis: for vertice of $\text{level } n > 0$, select a vertex k :
path(k) in T is a shortest path from the root to k in G . $k.d = \text{dist}(k)$

2. (Lemma 2)

Proof by induction – w/ contradiction

- d. let u be the child of k in T
- e. $u.d = k.d + 1 = \text{dist}(k) + 1$
- f. if $\text{path}(u)$ in T is not the shortest path in G , that is, $\text{dist}(k) + 1 > \text{dist}(u)$, there should be another vertex w such that (w, u) is in the shortest path in G .

2. (Lemma 2)

Proof by induction – w/ contradiction

- h. Note that (w, u) is not in T
- i. $\text{dist}(w) + 1 = \text{dist}(u) < \text{dist}(k) + 1$
- j. $\text{dist}(w) < \text{dist}(k) = k.d$
- k. $w.d < k.d$ (w should be in T and a lower level than k)
- m. (w, u) should be in T instead of (k, u)
- n. Proved by contradiction

3. (Lemma 3)

Lemma 3 of BFS

If (v,w) is an edge in E that does not belong to T , then it connects two vertices whose level number differ by at most one.

Symbols: $G = (V,E)$
 T : BFS tree
 Q : queue

Breadth-First Search

Algorithm Breadth_First_Search(G, v);
begin

mark v ;

put v in a queue;

while the queue is not empty **do**

remove vertex w from the queue;

perform **preWORK** on w ;

for all edges (w, x) with x unmarked **do**

mark x ;

add (w, x) to the *BFS* tree T ;

put x in the queue

end

// BFS numbering
num++;
w.bfs_num = num;

// after mark x do
 $x.d = w.d + 1$;

3. (Lemma 3)

Proof - preparation

- a. Add BFS numbering
- b. Add level (depth) attribute to each vertex.
- c. Apply Lemma 1
- d. Apply Lemma 22.3 [C]
- e. Proof by property related to queue

3. (Lemma 3)

Lemma 22.3 [C]

Suppose that during the execution of BFS on a graph $G = (V, E)$, the queue Q contains the vertices $\langle v(1), v(2), \dots, v(r) \rangle$ where $v(1)$ is the head of Q and $v(r)$ is the tail. Then, $v(r).d \leq v(1).d + 1$ and $v(i).d \leq v(i+1).d$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$.

3. (Lemma 3)

Lemma 22.3 [C] – proof sketch

- Base case (root): trivial
- Induction case:
 - a. Induction hypothesis: $Q = \langle v(1), v(2), \dots, v(r) \rangle$ holds
 - b. Case DEQUEUE: check $v(r).d \leq v(2).d + 1$
 - c. Case ENQUEUE: check $v(r+1).d \leq v(1).d + 1$ & $v(r).d \leq v(r+1).d$

3. (Lemma 3)

Proof

- a. Since (v,w) is not an edge in T , let $v.bfs_num < w.bfs_num$
- b. From lemma 1, there exists another vertex, say u , such that (u,w) is in T and u is a parent of w .
- c. $u.bfs_num$ is the minimum among vertices with edges to w .
- d. $u.bfs_num < v.bfs_num < w.bfs_num$
- e. Ordering of en(de)-queue: $u \rightarrow v \rightarrow w$.

3. (Lemma 3)

Proof

- g. When u is dequeued, (u, w) will be scanned and w will be enqueued to Q
- h. The ordering of enqueue suggests that v is also enqueued before w
- i. From Lemma 22.3[C], we get $w.d \leq v.d + 1$
- j. v 's and w 's level numbers differ by at most one